

Remarks/Arguments:

Reconsideration of the application is requested.

Claims 1, 4, and 20 remain in the application. Claim 1 has been amended. Claims 2, 3, and 5-19 were previously cancelled.

In item 3 on page 2 of the above-identified Office action, claims 1 and 20 have been rejected as being indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

The Examiner alleges that there is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation "other chamber". It is respectfully noted that the Examiner is in error. More specifically, "other" refers to and is the correct form for referring to the "another chamber" introduced in line 3. Accordingly, it is respectfully noted that the Examiner's allegation is in error. Therefore, claims 1 and 20 have not been amended to overcome the rejection. Should the Examiner disagree, it is kindly requested that the Examiner discuss this matter with the Examiner's supervisor.

TME-2217 - Application No. 10/538,168  
Response to Office action January 27, 2009  
Response submitted April 9, 2009

It is accordingly believed that the claims meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Should the Examiner find any further objectionable items, counsel would appreciate a telephone call during which the matter may be resolved.

In item 5 on page 3 of the Office action, claims 1, 4, and 20 have been rejected as being fully anticipated by Eastwood (U.S. Patent No. 4,567,986) under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

The rejection has been noted and the claims have been amended in an effort to even more clearly define the invention of the instant application. The claims are patentable for the reasons set forth below. Support for the changes is found in paragraph 0015 of the specification.

Before discussing the prior art in detail, it is believed that a brief review of the invention as claimed, would be helpful.

Claim 1 calls for, *inter alia*:

the chamber containing a suppository therein, the package having another chamber formed therein, the other chamber being separate from the chamber and containing a lubricant therein.

The Eastwood reference discloses a unit portion pack made from two webs (2 and 26). The two webs define a plurality of cavity regions (34) in which a pill (36) or other solid product item is received. Eastwood does not disclose a first cavity containing a suppository therein and a second separate cavity containing a lubricant therein.

The reference does not show the chamber containing a suppository therein, the package having another chamber formed therein, the other chamber being separate from the chamber and containing a lubricant therein as recited in claim 1 of the instant application. Eastwood disclose cavities with pills disposed therein. Eastwood does not disclose a package having a chamber with a suppository disposed therein and another separate chamber with lubricant disposed therein. This is contrary to the present invention as claimed, in which the chamber contains a suppository therein, the package has another chamber formed therein, the other chamber is separate from the chamber and contains a lubricant therein.

It is accordingly believed to be clear that none of the references, whether taken alone or in any combination, either show or suggest the features of claim 1. Claim 1 is, therefore, believed to be patentable over the art and since

TME-2217 - Application No. 10/538,168  
Response to Office action January 27, 2009  
Response submitted April 9, 2009

all of the dependent claims are ultimately dependent on claim 1, they are believed to be patentable as well.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of claims 1, 4, and 20 are solicited.

In the event the Examiner should still find any of the claims to be unpatentable, counsel respectfully requests a telephone call so that, if possible, patentable language can be worked out.

If an extension of time for this paper is required, petition for extension is herewith made.

TME-2217 - Application No. 10/538,168  
Response to Office action January 27, 2009  
Response submitted April 9, 2009

Please charge any other fees which might be due with respect  
to Sections 1.16 and 1.17 to the Deposit Account of Lerner  
Greenberg Steiner LLP, No. 12-1099.

Respectfully submitted,

/Alfred K. Dassler/

Alfred K. Dassler  
Reg. No.: 52,794

AKD:sa

April 9, 2009

Lerner Greenberg Steiner LLP  
Post Office Box 2480  
Hollywood, FL 33022-2480  
Tel: (954) 925-1100  
Fax: (954) 925-1101